A quinhydrone-type 2 : 1 acceptor-donor charge transfer complex obtained *via* a solvent-free reaction[†]

Marcel Bouvet,* Bernard Malézieux and Patrick Herson

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 10th January 2006, Accepted 17th February 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th March 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b600345a

A 2 : 1 2-methoxybenzoquinone (BQ_{OMe})-hydroquinone (H₂Q) complex (5), obtained as single crystals by a solvent-free reaction, combines dipolar and π - π interactions, as well as hydrogen bonding.

Non-covalent interactions, namely hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding, π - π and dipole-dipole interactions stabilize numerous organic, organometallic and biological molecular networks.¹⁻⁶ Considering the example of quinhydrone, a well-known charge transfer complex between benzoquinone (BO) and H₂O, π - π interactions exist inside the donor-acceptor stacks, whilst infinite molecular chains form through hydrogen bonds between neighboring stacks.^{7,8} Elsewhere, charge transfer complexes of the quinhydrone-type have been involved in recognition processes9 and recently used to self-organize calixarenes.¹⁰ In unsymmetrically-substituted quinhydrones, interconversion by hydrogen transfer was shown to occur between the two components of the complex in the solid state,^{11–13} but only one crystal structure was reported.¹² Concerning the association of BQ_{OMe} and H₂Q, a charge transfer band has been observed, but without any information about the stoichiometry of the interaction.¹⁴

In this paper we describe a new synthetic route to obtain single crystals of quinhydrones through a solvent-free reaction. The starting materials, 2-methoxyhydroquinone H_2Q_{OMe} (1) and BQ (2), undergo a redox reaction to lead to BQ_{OMe} (3) and H_2Q (4) (Scheme 1), which evolve to give 5‡ as black needles (space group: *P*-1).§ The asymmetric unit is composed of one molecule of 3 and half a molecule of 4 (Fig. 1). Hydroquinone lies at an inversion center, so compound 5 has to be considered as a 2 : 1 acceptor–donor (AAD) complex. The molecules arrange in columns of repeating AAD sequences parallel to the $(\vec{c} - \vec{b})$ vector, and make



Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique et Matériaux Moléculaires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, CNRS - UMR 7071, 4 Place Jussieu, Case Courrier 42, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: marcel.bouvet@espci.fr; Fax: (+33) 1 44 27 38 41; Tel: (+33) 1 44 27 30 83

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Optical absorption spectra of a thin film of 1 in PMMA exposed to 2, (a) as a function of time and (b) difference from the starting film showing the charge transfer band. See DOI: 10.1039/b600345a

an angle of 30° to this axis. The distance between the molecular planes of the A and D components in a column varies between 3.09 and 3.17 Å (mean value: 3.13 Å), which indicates a strong $\pi - \pi$ interaction (Fig. 1). This A–D π -stacking distance is comparable to that observed in the unsubstituted quinhydrone (3.2 Å).⁸ We note however that A and D are tilted by 2°. Further, the distance between two neighboring A moieties (3.35 Å) is typical of a π - π interaction, comparable to the intermolecular distance observed in pure 3.15 The AA pairs, in which each molecule corresponds to its twin by an inversion center, are stabilized by a dipole-dipole interaction. The dipole moment of 3 has been calculated to be 0.81 Debye (MNDO).15 Hydrogen bonds exist between H₂Q and BQOMe molecules belonging to adjacent columns, defining planes parallel to the (011) plane; $d_{O3-O4'} = 2.717(2)$ Å, angle O3-H1'- $O4' = 164^{\circ}$ (Fig. 1). These hydrogen bonds involve the carbonyl group in the meta position with respect to the methoxy group. The interatomic distances of sub-units 3 in 5 are slightly different from those measured in single crystals of free 3 (space group $P2_1/c$).¹⁵ Due to the existence of hydrogen bonding involving O3, the difference between the two carbonyl distances (C4–O3 - C1–O1) suffers from a slight increase from 0.005(5) to 0.027(5) Å, while the C-OH distance is not significantly shortened, compared to pure 4.

For sub-unit 4, we compared data measured in 5 with the mean value obtained from the three independent molecules constituting



Fig. 1 X-Ray crystal structure of **5**: (a) ORTEP (30% probability level) representation of the asymmetric unit, including atomic numbering and bond lengths in Å; the prime (') symbol indicates that these atoms are at equivalent positions (1 - x, 2 - y, -z). (b) View of an AAD triad (100% van der Waals radii). (c) A schematic view of the structure with interplanar distances in Å (projection on the (011) plane, segments indicate the main axis of molecules and arrows the direction of the dipolar moment of A).



Fig. 2 NMR spectra of 3 in CD₃CN.

the asymmetric unit in 4, obtained as single crystals by sublimation under vacuum (α -form).¶ The C–C distances measured in 5 are 0.007(4) Å longer than those measured in free 4 (mean values of all the C–C bonds). In spite of the marginal significance of this value, one can note that the difference is 0.014 Å when going from 4 to the BQ/H₂Q quinhydrone, both determined at RT.^{8,16}

The ¹H NMR spectrum of an equimolar mixture of **1** and **2** in CD₃CN indicates that the reaction depicted in Scheme 1 is quantitative after a few minutes. The spectrum of crystals of 5 dissolved in CD_3CN confirms that 3 and 4 are in a ratio of 2 : 1. The peaks attributable to 3 were identified from their different coupling constants and homogeneous decoupling experiments. In contrast with previously published works, the signal at δ 6.71 is not a singlet,^{17,18} but remains as two doublets (Fig. 2). The methoxy group does not appear as a singlet, but as a doublet. Irradiation at δ 3.8 induces a modification of the peak at δ 6.0, which then appears as a doublet of doublets, without any modification of the other signals. Reversibly, irradiation at δ 6.0 transforms the signal at δ 3.8 into a singlet. This means a coupling phenomenon exists between protons of the methoxy group and H5, which could also be observed in pure CDCl₃ and when the solution was diluted ten times in CD₃CN. No variation of chemical shift was observed between pure $3\parallel$ and mixtures of 3 and 4 in CD₃CN, indicating no intermolecular interaction between the acceptor and donor components in that solvent.

In acetonitrile, reaction occurs rapidly between 1 and 2 at room temperature, but the absorption spectra of equimolar mixtures of 1 and 2 correspond to the superimposition of the individual spectra of 3 and 4 (Fig. 3), indicating that products 3 and 4 do not interact.

The absorption spectrum of **5**, obtained in the solid state from a KBr pellet, shows a strong and broad absorption band centered at



Fig. 3 Absorption spectrum of an equimolar mixture of 1 and 2 in acetonitrile (dotted line), and in the solid state (KBr pellet) (solid line).

575 nm (Fig. 3). Films are violet in color. Solid mixtures of **1** and **2**, and of **3** and **4** show the same spectra as **5**, indicating that the redox reaction occurs rapidly (in the range of minutes) at room temperature.

We also prepared films from acetonitrile solutions of 1 and poly(methyl metacrylate) (PMMA), which after exposure to 2 at RT exhibited the same charge transfer band. We are now applying the same synthetic route to other more elaborate molecules.

We would like to thank E. S. P. C. I. - Paris and UPMC for supporting this work. Dr. R. Thouvenot and Dr. J. Canny (UPMC) are gratefully acknowledged for NMR decoupling experiments.

Notes and references

‡ Synthesis of compound **5**: A Schlenk tube containing a mixture of **1** (50 mg, 0.35 mmol) and **2** (50 mg, 0.46 mmol) was purged under argon, evacuated under a primary vacuum and then closed. The tube was heated in a home made vertical oven from room temperature to 100 °C at a heating rate of 0.1° min⁻¹, then maintained at 100 °C for 13 h. 2 cm long black needles were formed 2 cm above the oven. **5**: m.p.: 136 °C (dec.); IR (KBr): 1677 and 1640 (s) (C=O) cm⁻¹; UV-vis: λ_{max} (KBr) 575 nm; ¹H NMR of dissolved crystals (300 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 3.81 (d, J_{OCH₃-5 = 0.4 Hz, 2 H, H₅), 6.46 (br s, 2 H, OH), 6.70 (s, 4 H, ArH), 6.705 (d, J₅₋₆ = 1.9 Hz, 2 H, H₆) and 6.71 (d, J₃₋₅ = 0.4 Hz, 2 H, H₃); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 57.2 (OCH₃), 108.7 (C3), 116.9 (CH, H2Q), 135.7 and 138.0 (C5 and C6), 151.1 (COH, H₂Q), 160.1 (C2), and 183.0 and 189.0 (C1 and C4). Anal. calc. for C₂₀H₁₈O₈: C, 62.18; H, 4.70. Found: C, 61.54; H, 4.92%.}

§ *Crystal data* for 5: C₂₀H₁₈O₈, *M* = 386.36, triclinic, *P*-1, *a* = 6.6793 (14), *b* = 8.117 (2), *c* = 9.238 (2) Å, *α* = 69.157 (14), *β* = 69.931 (19), *γ* = 81.34 (2)°, *V* = 439.42 (19) Å³, *Z* = 1, *D_c* = 1.46 g cm⁻³. Measured on a KAPPACCD-Enraf Nonius diffractometer: λ (Mo-K_α) = 0.71073 Å, μ (Mo-K_α) = 1.14 cm⁻¹, 8218 reflections (*T* = 200 K, 2 < *θ* <32°). Number of independent data collected: 2825; number of independent data used for refinement: 1387 (*F*₀)² > 1.5 *σ*(*F*₀)², merging *R* = 0.034, *R* = Σ|| *F_o* | - | *F_c* ||*Σ*| *F_o* = 0.0578, *Rw** = [Σ*w*(|| *F_o* | - | *F_c* ||)²/Σ*wF_o*²]^{3/2} = 0.0597, -0.297 < Δ*ρ* < 0.424. CCDC 294809. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b600345a

¶ *Crystal data* for **4** at 200 K: *R*-3, *a* = *b* = 38.567, *c* = 5.6650 Å (α-form, lit.¹⁶ at 300 K, *a* = *b* = 38.46, *c* = 5.650 Å), *α* = *β* = 90, *γ* = 120°, and *Z* = 18. || Compound **3** was synthesized from **1** (130 mg, 0.93 mmol) and **2** (100 mg, 0.92 mmol) in CH₃CN and purified on silica gel (eluent: CH₂Cl₂). Yield = 70%. **3**: m.p.: 136 °C (dec.) (lit.¹⁵ 134 °C); IR (KBr): 1679 (s) and 1647 (s) (C=O) cm⁻¹; UV-vis: λ_{max} (CH₃CN) 252 nm (log ε = 4.0) and 357 nm (log ε = 3.2); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 3.81 (d, J_{OCH_7-5} = 0.4 Hz, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.01 (ddq, J_{5-6} = 1.9 Hz, J_{5-3} = 0.4 Hz, J_{5-OCH_3} = 0.4 Hz, 1 H, H₃).

- 1 G. R. Desiraju, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2311.
- 2 M. C. T. Fyfe and J. F. Stoddart, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 393.
- 3 P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, Chem.-Eur. J., 2001, 2511.
- 4 M. Oh, G. B. Carpenter and D. A. Sweigart, *Organometallics*, 2002, 21, 1290.
- 5 J. Moussa, C. Guyard-Duhayon, P. Herson, H. Amouri, M. N. Rager and A. Jutand, *Organometallics*, 2004, 23, 6231.
- 6 J. Regeimbal, S. Gleiter, B. L. Trumpower, C.-A. Yu, M. Diwakar, D. P. Ballou and J. C. A. Bardwell, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, 100, 13779.
- 7 T. Sakurai, Acta Crystallogr., 1965, 19, 320.
- 8 T. Sakurai, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem., 1968, 24, 403.
- 9 F. D'Souza and G. R. Deviprasad, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4601.
- 10 S. Meddeb-Limem, B. Malézieux, S. Besbes-Hentati, H. Said, P. Herson, J.-C. Blais and M. Bouvet, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2005, 18, 1176.
- 11 G. R. Desiraju, D. Y. Curtin and I. C. Paul, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 4071.
- 12 A. O. Patil, D. Y. Curtin and I. C. Paul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 4010.

- 13 A. O. Patil, D. Y. Curtin and I. C. Paul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 348.
- 14 K. K. Kalninsh, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1984, 80, 1529.
- 15 E. M. D. Keegstra, A. L. Spek, J. W. Zwikker and L. W. Jenneskens, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1633.
- 16 S. C. Wallwork and H. M. Powell, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1980, 641.
- 17 J. M. Saa, A. Ilobera, A. Garcia-Raso, A. Costa and P. M. Deya, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 4263.
- 18 T. Ling, E. Poupon, E. J. Rueden, S. H. Kim and E. A. Theodorakis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 12261.

Chemical Technology

Chemical Technology

A well-received news supplement showcasing the latest developments in applied and technological aspects of the chemical sciences

Free online and in print issues of selected RSC journals!*

- Application Highlights newsworthy articles and significant technological advances
- Essential Elements latest developments from RSC publications
- Free access to the original research paper from every online article

*A separately issued print subscription is also available

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/chemicaltechnology